St. George's Bac	kstop Replacem	ent	21D.38	Priority Level:	C - moderate
Project Type:	Maintenance		220.00	Department:	Community Services
Growth Related?		No		Staff Contact:	
Estimated Useful Life (years)	25	110			Adam Parsons
Cash Flow Projection:	Year 1 Year 2	Year 3+	Description and rationale:		
Studies	i		St. George's Ball Diamond is a he	eavily used Class A c	liamond. The backstop at this
In House Engineering			facility has reached the end of it	•	
Design or Engineering			would be used to repair the back	kstop framing, remo	ove the existing chain link and
Communication/Signage			install new chain link fence fabri	c in order to contin	ue to provide an adequate facility
Construction / Contractor	\$ 15,000		for safe play.		
Materials	i				
Equipment/Misc.					
Contingency	,				
Total	\$ 15,000 \$ - \$	\$ -			
Impact on Operating Budget	:\$-\$-\$	5 -			
Total Project Budget:	\$	15,000			
Schedule:			VERSEN A	the for	11
Design Start Date:	February 1, 2021			A SWAL	Clean In
Construction Start Date:	April 1, 2021		1000		1423年14月
Substantial Completion or					
purchase date:					
Current Year Funding S	ources:				T. mart
Select from List				600 CG	
Select from List					
Select from List	:			2. AN 19 - 2.	and the second
Select from List	:				127 4 10
				A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OW	the second se
Select from List	:		× 277	223 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Carlo and

St. George's Backstop Replacement



Priority Level: C - moderate

Justification for Matrix Values	Sco	re	1 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating	
People	How many people will be positively impacted by the project?	2	1,000-2,499	
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	2	The project is required as a preventative maintenance measure in an effort to avoid the backstop becoming a health and safety risk.	
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	4	Occupiers Liability Act	
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan	3	Moderate probability of failure, low consequence.	
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance	1	Minor impact on operational performance by reducing the number and frequency of repairs required.	
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available	r 0	No partnership is available	
Environment	Will the project result in an improvement to the natural environment of the community?	1	Little or no impact on the surrounding environment	
Cultural Significance	To what degree does the project support cultural initiatives	3	7.2 Continue to facilitate affordable recreation and sport opportunities	
Master Plan	To what degree is the project addressed in a Master Plan	0	The project is a maintenance item and is not included	
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement	1	Park users have provided input that the project is supported	