Duncan McClel	lan No	orth R	enewa	al 24D.51 Priority Score: 36.40
Project Type:	Rehabilitat	ion		Priority Level: Moderate
Growth Related?:	No			Department: Community Services
Estimated Useful Life (years):	25			Staff Contact: Adam Parsons
Cash Flow Projection:	2024	2025	2026	Description and Rationale:
Studies				Duncan McLellan Park is the City's marquee ball park with 3 class A
In House Engineering				diamonds.
Design or Engineering				The existing ball diamonds were constructed over 30 years ago on a
Communication / Signage			ļ	known landfill site. Over time grade changes and undulation in the
Construction / Contractor			ļ	outfield has occurred, and turf-grass has difficulty establishing in portions of the North diamond outfield.
Materials			ļ	
Equipment/Misc	├ ──┤	·	ļ	Diamond lights on North are currently 20+ 1000W incandescent bulbs.
Contingency Total	\$ 170,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Costs Incurred to 2023 Year End	÷,			Infield material, traditionally sand mix, has mixed Washington clay ball mix with the sand in an attempt to manage dust migration to neighboring properties.
Impact on Operating Budget	\$0	\$ 0	\$ O	This capital would be used to hire contractors to:
Total Project Budget:	\$ 170,000			 Re-grade the outfield to a level playing surface Import topsoil to create improved soil conditions for turf-grass
Schedule:				establishment including perennial Ryegrass and Kentucky Bluegrass - Remove existing infield "skin" layer of mixed material
Construction Start Date:	05/16/2024	4		- Grade and assess the condition of the infield base layer material and
Substantial Completion or				drainage - Import appropriate granite based sand infield material and grade
purchase date:	12/31/2024	4		- Replace existing incandescent light fixtures with LED replacements on
Funding Sources:				the existing concrete poles.
Tax Levy	\$ 170,00	0		
Please Select	÷0,00	-		
Please Select				
Please Select				Attach Images:
Please Select				
Capital Reserve	\$ 0			Opens the attachment panel. Double click files to view images attached. Maximum Size: 10MB

Duncan McClellan North Renewal 24D.51

Justification for Matrix Values		S	Score 0 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating			
People	How many people will be directly impacted by the project?	4	It is estimated approximately 6,000 users enjoy the north Diamond at Duncan McLellan Park annually			
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	3	Multiple Injuries May result			
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	1	no known legislative requirement			
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan.	0	Parks Assets are not captured by the City's Asset Management Plan			
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance.	3	Improved infield and outfield conditions will result in lower maintenance costs and reduce overtime.			
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available?	0	No known opportunity for partnership or grant			
Environment	Does the project address needs impacted by climate change?	1	Little or no environmental impact			
Socio-Economic Factors	To what degree does the project support diversity and inclusion Initiatives?	2	Maintains an existing public space			
Aesthetic Value	To what degree is the aesthetic value of the asset improved?	3	The improved playing field will improve the aesthetic value of the space			
Strategic Plan	Does the project help to meet a Key Result in the Strategic Plan?	1	N/A			
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement?	2	Has been mentioned informally through public engagements.			

Duncan South Ba	all Dian	nond F	Renewa	al 25D.6 Priority Score: 36.80
Project Type: Growth Related?:	Rehabilitat No	ion		Priority Level: Moderate Department: Community Services State Contact: Adam Department
Estimated Useful Life (years):				Staff Contact: Adam Parsons
Cash Flow Projection:	2025	2026	2027	Description and Rationale:
Studies In House Engineering Design or Engineering Communication / Signage Construction / Contractor Materials Equipment/Misc Contingency	\$ 250,000			Duncan McLellan Park is the City's marquee ball park with 3 class A diamonds. The existing ball diamonds were constructed over 30 years ago on a know landfill site. Over time significant grade changes and undulation in the outfield has occurred, causing inconsistent playing conditions, safety concerns, and has re-profiled the outfield fence as the ground has settled significantly in right field. Diamond lights on the south diamond are currently 20+ 1000W incandescent bulbs.
Total	\$ 250,000	\$ 0	\$0	Infield material is currently pond sand, a fine grade fill material that is
Costs Incurred to 2024 Year End				problematic for maintenance, becoming mushy when wet, and deep loose powder when dry.
Impact on Operating Budget	\$ O	\$0	\$0	This capital would be used to hire contractors to: - Re-grade the outfield to a level playing surface
Total Project Budget:	\$ 250,000			 Repair/replace sections of outfield fence as required when grading is complete
Schedule: Construction Start Date:	04/07/202	5		 Import topsoil to create improved soil conditions for turfgrass establishment clinging perennial Ryegrass and Kentucky Bluegrass Remove existing infield "skin" layer of mixed material
Substantial Completion or purchase date:				 Grade and asses the condition of the infield base layer material and drainage Import appropriate granite based sand infield material and grade Replace existing incandescent light fixtures with LED replacements on the
Funding Sources: Tax Levy Please Select Please Select Please Select	\$ 250,00	0		existing concrete poles. DMP S 1.jpg; DMP S 2.jpg Attach Images:
Please Select Capital Reserve	\$ 0			Opens the attachment panel. Double click files to view images attached. Maximum Size: 10MB

Duncan South Ball Diamond Renewal

25D.6

Justification for Matrix Values			core 0 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating			
People	How many people will be directly impacted by the project?	4	It is estimated approximately 6,000 users enjoy the north Diamond at Duncan McLellan Park annually.			
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	3	Some injuries may occur due to uneven ground and other potential hazards.			
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	1	No known legislative/regulatory compliance requirement.			
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan.	0	Parks assets are not captured by the City's Asset Management Plan.			
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance.	3	Operational efficiencies will be achieved - improved infield and outfield conditions will result in lower maintenance costs and reduce overtime.			
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available?	0	No opportunity for partnership or grant funding.			
Environment	Does the project address needs impacted by climate change?	1	Little or no impact on environment as a result of the project.			
Socio-Economic Factors	To what degree does the project support diversity and inclusion Initiatives?	2	Maintains an existing public space.			
Aesthetic Value	To what degree is the aesthetic value of the asset improved?	3	An improved playing field will improve the aesthetic value of the space.			
Strategic Plan	Does the project help to meet a Key Result in the Strategic Plan?	1	Supports delivery of core service.			
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement?	3	Written correspondence with users have documented unsatisfactory conditions.			

Duncan McLella	an So	uth D	Jgout	S 25D.7 Priority Score: 30.20
Project Type:	Replacem		_	Priority Level: Moderate
Growth Related?:	No	•		Department: Community Services
Estimated Useful Life (years):				Staff Contact: Adam Parsons
Cash Flow Projection:	2025	2026	2027	Description and Rationale:
Studies				Dugouts at Duncan McLellan Park South ball diamond have reached the
In House Engineering				end of their service life, are deteriorated and the ceiling height is very
Design or Engineering				low.
Communication / Signage				New dugouts are required to be constructed using design and
Construction / Contractor	· · ·			engineering from a previous year when new dugouts were constructed
Materials				at Duncan McLellan Park Major diamond and Tom Williams Ball Park.
Equipment/Misc				4 1
Contingency				4 1
Total	\$ 60,000	\$ 0	\$0	
Costs Incurred to 2024 Year End				
Impact on Operating Budget	\$0	\$0	\$0]
Total Project Budget:	\$ 60,000]
Schedule:				
Construction Start Date	03/17/202	5		
Substantial Completion or purchase date:	05/30/202	5		
Funding Sources:				
Tax Levy	\$ 60,000			
Please Select				
Please Select				DMP S Dugout.jpg
Please Select				Attach Images:
Please Select	• •			
Capital Reserve	\$ 0			Opens the attachment panel. Double click files to view images attached. Maximum Size: 10MB

Duncan McLellan South Dugouts 25D.7

Justification for Matrix Values			core 0 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating			
People	How many people will be directly impacted by the project?	4	3 ball diamonds, 30 players/rental, 22 weeks, 5 days/week			
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	2	Injuries requiring medical attention may result.			
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	1	No known legislative/regulatory compliance requirement.			
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan.	0	Parks assets are not currently captured by the City's Asset Management Plan.			
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance.	1	Little or no effect on current operations.			
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available?	0	No opportunity for partnership or grant			
Environment	Does the project address needs impacted by climate change?	1	Little or no impact on environment as a result of the project.			
Socio-Economic Factors	To what degree does the project support diversity and inclusion Initiatives?	2	Maintains an existing public space.			
Aesthetic Value	To what degree is the aesthetic value of the asset improved?	3	Project improves aesthetic values where there is not a deemed failure.			
Strategic Plan	Does the project help to meet a Key Result in the Strategic Plan?	3	Project supports an objective of the strategic plan.			
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement?	3	Documented multiple supports through unsolicited feedback.			

						Year: 2026
Duncan Major F	Renew	val		26D.10	Priority Score:	36.80
Project Type: Growth Related?: Estimated Useful Life (years): Future Replacement Cost:	Rehabilitati No 30 2053 - \$450,0			Priority Level: Department: Staff Contact: Location/Coordin	Moderate Community S Adam Parso nates: Duncan Mcl	ons
Cash Flow Projection:	2026	2027	2028	Description and Rationale:		
Studies In House Engineering Design or Engineering Communication / Signage Construction / Contractor Materials Equipment/Misc Contingency Total Costs Incurred to 2025 Year End Impact on Operating Budget	\$ 150,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0	Duncan McLellan Park is the City's r Duncan McLellan Park is the City's r The existing ball diamonds were con landfill site. Over time significant gra has occurred, causing inconsistent p re-profiled the outfield fence as the g Infield material is currently pond san problematic for maintenance, becom powder when dry. \$ 0 \$ 0 Complete	e constructed over 30 t grade changes and ent playing condition the ground has settle I sand, a fine grade fi ecoming mushy wher re contractors to: el playing surface field fence as require) years ago on a known undulation in the outfield s, safety concerns, and has ed significantly in right field. ill material that is n wet, and deep loose d when grading is	
Schedule:				 clinging perennial Ryegrass and Remove existing infield "skin" Grade and asses the condition 	layer of mixed mater	ial
Construction Start Date:	04/06/2026			- Import appropriate granite bas		
Substantial Completion or purchase date:	11/30/2026			These improvements are suppo Facilities Master Plan.	rted by Section 6 of t	the Recreation, Parks and
Funding Sources: Tax Levy Please Select Please Select Please Select Please Select Capital Reserve	\$ 150,000 \$ 0)		Attach Images:	Duncan Major.jpg click files to view images	s attached. Maximum Size: 10MB

26D.10

Justification for Matrix Values			core 0 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating			
People	How many people will be directly impacted by the project?	4	It is estimated approximately 6,000 users enjoy the north Diamond at Duncan McLellan Park annually			
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	3	Substandard sport facility conditions may result in injuries requiring medical attention			
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	1	No known legislative requirement			
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan.	0	Parks Assets are not captured by the City's Asset Management Plan			
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance.	3	Improved infield and outfield conditions will result in lower maintenance costs and reduce overtime.			
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available?	0	No known opportunity for partnership or grant			
Environment	Does the project address needs impacted by climate change?	1	Little or no environmental impact			
Socio-Economic Factors	To what degree does the project support diversity and inclusion Initiatives?	2	Maintains an existing public space			
Aesthetic Value	To what degree is the aesthetic value of the asset improved?	3	Adding dugouts to an improved playing field will improve the aesthetic value of the space			
Strategic Plan	Does the project help to meet a Key Result in the Strategic Plan?	1	An improved playing field will improve the aesthetic value of the space			
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement?	3	Written correspondence with users have documented unsatisfactory conditions			

Duncan McLell	an No	orth D	ugout	S 26D.11 Priority Score: 30.20		
Project Type:	Enhancem	ent		Priority Level: Moderate		
Growth Related?:	No			Department: Please Select		
Estimated Useful Life (years):	30			Staff Contart: Adam Parsons		
Future Replacement Cost:	2053 - \$180,0	000		Location/Coordinates: Duncan McLellan Park		
Cash Flow Projection:	2026	2027	2028	Description and Rationale:		
Studies				Dugouts at Duncan McLellan Park North ball diamond have reached the		
In House Engineering				end of their service life, are deteriorated and the ceiling height is very		
Design or Engineering				low.		
Communication / Signage Construction / Contractor	\$ 60,000					
Materials				New dugouts are required, to be constructed using design and		
Equipment/Misc				engineering from a previous year when new dugouts were constructed at Duncan McLellan Park Major diamond and Tom Williams Ball Park.		
Contingency						
Total		\$ 0	\$ 0	If time permits, the City's staff will undertake the construction.		
Costs Incurred to 2025 Year End	\$0			This initiative is supported by Section 6, Recreational Asset		
Impact on Operating Budget	\$0			Improvements, of the City's Recreation, Facilities and Parks Master Plan.		
Total Project Budget:	\$ 60,000					
Schedule:						
Construction Start Date:	03/30/2026	3				
Substantial Completion or						
purchase date:	11/30/2026	6				
Funding Sources:						
Tax Levy	\$ 60,000					
Please Select				Dupon North Dupon in a		
Please Select				Ducan North Dugouts.jpg Attach Images:		
Please Select				Attaon mages.		
Please Select Capital Reserve	\$ 0			Opens the attachment panel. Double click files to view images attached. Maximum Size: 10MB		

Duncan McLellan North Dugouts 26D.11

Priority Score:

Justification for Matrix Values		S	Score 0 - 5 Justification / Rationale for Rating			
People	How many people will be directly impacted by the project?	4	3 ball diamonds, 30 players/rental, 22 weeks, 5 days/week			
Health and Safety	What is the risk to the health and safety of the public or Staff if the project does not proceed?	2	Injuries requiring medical attention may result			
Legislation	Is the project required for legislative/regulatory compliance?	1	No known legislative requirement			
Asset Management	Is the project a high priority for replacement in the asset management plan.	0	Parks Assets are not currently captured by the City's Asset Management Plan			
Operational Performance	If the project proceeds (or fails to proceed), what will be the impact on operational performance? Comment on any impact on operating costs, staff time and maintenance.	1	Little or no effect on operational effectiveness. This is a passive amentiy intended for use by the public.			
Financing	Can the cost of investment be leveraged or are there partnership funds available?	0	No opportunity for partnership or grant			
Environment	Does the project address needs impacted by climate change?	1	Little or no impact on environment as a result of the project			
Socio-Economic Factors	To what degree does the project support diversity and inclusion Initiatives?	2	Project maintains an existing public space			
Aesthetic Value	To what degree is the aesthetic value of the asset improved?	3	Project improves aesthetic values where there is not a deemed failure			
Strategic Plan	Does the project help to meet a Key Result in the Strategic Plan?	3	Project supports an objective of the strategeic plan			
Public Input	Has the project been identified through public engagement?	3	Documented multiple supports through unsolicited feedback			